# On the Classical Primary Radical Formula and Classical Primary Subsemimodules

Pingwei Liu, Institute of Zhejiang University, China

**ABSTRACT**— In this paper, we characterize the classical primary radical of subsemimodules and classical primary subsemimodules of semimodules over a commutative semirings. Furthermore we prove that if  $N_j$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_j$ , then  $N_j$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_j$  if and only if  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_{j-1} \times N_j \times M_{j+1} \times \dots \times M_n$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M.

Keywords— classical primary subsemimodule, primary subsemimodule, classical primary radical, classical primary radical formula.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper a semiring will be defined as follows: A semiring is a set R together with two binary operations called addition " + " and multiplication " · " such that (R, +) is a commutative semigroup and  $(R, \cdot)$  is semigroup; connecting the two algebraic structures are the distrubutive laws : a(b+c) = ab + ac and (a + b)c = ac + bc for all  $a, b, c \in R$ . A semimodule M over a semiring R is a commutative monoid M with additive identity 0, together with a function  $R \times M \to M$ , defined by  $(r, m) \mapsto rm$  such that:

1. 
$$r(m+n) = rm + rn$$
  
2.  $(r+s)m = rm + sm$   
3.  $(rs)m = r(sm)$   
4.  $r 0 = 0 = 0m$ 

5. 1m = m

for all  $m, n \in M$  and  $r, s \in R$ . Clearly every ring is a semiring and hence every module over a ring R is a left semimodule over a semiring R. A nonempty subset N of a R-semimodule M is called subsemimodule of M if N is closed under addition and closed under scalar multiplication.

J. Saffar Ardabili S. Motmaen and A. Yousefian Darani in (2011) defined a different class of subsemimodules and called it classical prime. A proper subsemimodule N of M is said to be classical prime when for  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$ ,  $abm \in N$  implies that  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$ .

A proper subsemimodule N of M is said to be classical primary when for  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$ ,  $abm \in N$ implies that  $am \in N$  or  $b_n m \in N$ , for some positive integer n. A classical primary radical of N in M, denoted by  $c. prad_M(N)$ , is defined to be the intersection of all classical primary subsemimodules containing N. Should there be no classical primary subsemimodule of M containing N, then we put  $c. prad_M(N) = M$ . In this note, we shall need the notion of the envelope of a submodule introduced by R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore in [11]. For a submodule N of an R-module M, the envelope of N in M, denoted by  $E_M(N)$ , is defined to be the subset  $\{rm : r \in R \text{ and } m \in M \text{ such that } r^k m \in N \text{ for some } k \in ^+\}$  of M. Note that, in general,  $E_M(N)$  is not an R-module. With the help of envelopes, the notion of the radical formula is defined as follows: a submodule N of an R-module M is said to satisfy the radical formula in M, if  $\langle E_M(N) \rangle = rad_M(N)$ . Also, an R-module M is said to satisfy the radical formula, if every submodule of M satisfies the radical formula in M. The radical formula has been studied extensively by various authors (see [8], [13] and [14]).

In this paper we introduce the concept of the radical formula and study some basic properties of this class of subsemimodules. Moreover, we prove that if  $N_j$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_j$ , then  $N_j$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_j$  if and only if  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \ldots \times M_{j-1} \times N_j \times M_{j+1} \times \ldots \times M_n$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ , where each  $R_i$  is a commutative semiring with identity. Then an ideal  $I = \prod_{i=1}^{n} I_i$  of P is primary if and only if  $I_i$  is equal to the corresponding semiring  $R_i$  and the other is primary. Moreover, any R-semimodule M can be uniquely decomposed into a direct product of semimodules, i.e.  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where

$$M_{i} = (0,0,0, \cdots, 0,1,0,\cdots)M$$

is an  $R_i$ -semimodule with action  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = (r_1m_1, r_2m_2, \dots, r_nm_n)$ , where  $r_i \in R_i$  and  $m_i \in M_i$ .

Proposition 2.1. Let  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  be a subsemimodule of M. Then  $\langle E_M(N) \rangle = \langle E_M(N_1) \rangle \times \langle E_M(N_2) \rangle$ . Proof. Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (r_i, s_i)(m_i, n_i) \in \langle E_M(N) \rangle$  where  $(r_i, s_i)^{k_i}(m_i, n_i) \in N$ , for some  $k_i \in \mathbb{T}^+$  if and only if  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i m_i \in \langle E_{M_1}(N_1) \rangle$ , with  $r_i^{k_i} m_i \in N_1$ and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i n_i \in \langle E_{M_2}(N_2) \rangle$ , with  $s_i^{k_i} n_i \in N_2$ .

Then  $x = (u, v) \in E_M(N)$  if and only  $u \in E_M(N_1)$  and  $v \in \langle E_M(N_2) \rangle$  as required.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $N = \prod_{i=1}^{n} N_i$  be a subsemimodule of M. Then  $\langle E_M(N) \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle M_i (N_i) \rangle$ . **Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.1

**Proposition 2.3.** If N is a classical prime subsemimodule of M, then  $E'_{M}(N) \rangle = N$ . **Proof.** Clearly,  $N \subseteq \langle E_{M}(N) \rangle$ . To show that  $E_{M}(N) \rangle \subseteq N$ . Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} m_{i} \in \langle E_{M}(N) \rangle$ , where  $r_{ii}^{k} m \in N$  for some  $k \in \Box^{+}$ . Since N is a classical prime subsemimodule of M, we have  $r m \in N$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} m_{i} \in N$  so that  $\langle E_{M}(N) \rangle \subseteq N$ . Hence  $\langle E_{M}(N) \rangle = N$ .

#### 3. CLASSICAL PRIMARY SUBSEMIMODULES

In this section, we give some characterizations for classical primary subsemimodules of R -semimodule M.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. A subsemimodule  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of M if and only if  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M. We will show that  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ . Clearly,  $N_1$  is a proper subsemimodule of  $R_1$  -semimodule  $M_1$ . To show that classical primary subsemimodule properties of  $N_1$  hold,  $m \in M_1$  and  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $abm \in N_1$ . Then

$$(a,1)(b,1)(m,n) = (abm, n) \in N_1 \times M_2$$
.

Since  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M, it follows that

$$(am, n) = (a,1)(m, n) \in N_1 \times M_2$$

$$(b^n m, n) = (b, 1)^n (m, n) \in N_1 \times M_2,$$

for some positive integer n. That is,  $am \in N$  or  $b^n m \in N$ . Therefore N is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . Conversely, suppose that  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . We will show that  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R-semimodule M. Clearly,  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a proper subsemimodule of R-semimodule M. To show that classical primary subsemimodule properties of  $N_1 \times M_2$  hold, let  $(m, n) \in M$  and  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in R$  such that

$$(a_1b_1m, a_2 b_2 n) = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(m, n) \in N_1 \times M_2.$$

Since  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$  and  $a_1b_1m \in N_1$ , we have  $a_1m \in N_1$  or  $b_1^n n \in N$ , for some positive integer n. Therefore

$$(a_1, a_2)(m, n) = (a_1m, a_2n) \in N_1 \times M_2$$
  
or  
 $(b_1, b_2)^n (m, n) = (b_1^n m, b_2^n n) \in N_1 \times M_2$ .

Hence  $N_1 imes M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. A subsemimodule  $M_1 \times N_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R-semimodule M if and only if  $N_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_2$  semimodule  $M_2$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. A subsemimodule  $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_{i-1} \times N_i \times M_{i+1} \times \dots \times M_n$ 

is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M if and only if N i is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_{i}$ -semimodule  $M_i$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_1 \times \{n\}$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of M, then  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N_1 \times \{n\}$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M. We will show that  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ . Clearly,  $N_1$  is a proper subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . To show that classical primary subsemimodule properties of  $N_1$  hold, let  $m \in M_1$  and  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $abm \in N_1$ . Then

$$(a,1)(b,1)(m,n) = (abm, n) \in N_1 \times \{n\}.$$

Since  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M, it follows that

$$(am, n) = (a,1)(m, n) \in N_1 \times \{n\}.$$
  
or  
$$(b_n m, n) = (b,1)^n (m, n) \in N_1 \times \{n\}$$

for some positive integer *n*. That is,  $am \in N$  or  $b^n m \in N$ . Therefore  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$  -semimodule  $M_1$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $\{n\} \times N_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M, then  $N_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_2$  -semimodule  $M_2$ . **Proof.** This follows from Lemma 3.4.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -senimodule. If  $\{m_1\} \times \{m_2\} \times \ldots \times N_j \times \ldots \times \{m_n\}$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of R -semimodule M, then  $N_j$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_j$  semimodule  $M_i$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5.

#### 4. RADICAL OF CLASSICAL PRIMARY SUBSEMIMODULES

A subsemimodule N of an R-semimodule M is said to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M, if  $\langle E_M(N) \rangle = c. prad_M(N)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If W is a classical primary subsemimodule of R semimodule M and  $P = \{x \in M_1 : (x, y) \in W\}$ , then  $P = M_1$  or P is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$ semimodule  $M_1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $P \neq M_1$ . We will show that P is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$  -semimodule  $M_1$ . It P is a proper subsemimodule of  $R_1$  -semimodule  $M_1$ . To show that classical primary subsemimodule is clear that, properties of P, let  $a, b \in R_1$  and  $m \in M_1$  such that  $abm \in P$ . Then  $(a,1)(b,1)(m, y) = (abm, y) \in W$ . Since W is a classical primary subsemimodule of M, we have

$$(am,1) = a,1'(m, y) \in W$$
  
 $(or) (b^{n}m, y) = b,1^{n}(m, y) \in W$ 

for some positive integer *n*. It follows that  $am \in P$  or  $b^n m \in P$ . Therefore *P* is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If W is a classical primary subsemimodule of *R*-semimodule *M* and  $P = \{x \in M_2 : (0, x) \in W\}$ , then  $P = M_2$  or *P* is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_2$  -semimodule  $M_2$  . **Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.1.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If W is a classical primary subsemimodule of Rsemimodule M and  $P = \{x \in M_j : (m_1, m_2, ..., x, m_{j+1}, ..., m_n) \in W\}$ , then  $P = M_j$  or P is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_i$ -semimodule  $M_i$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

Lemma 4.4. Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule and let N be a subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . Then  $m \in c. prad_{M_1}(N)$  if and only if  $(m, y) \in c. prad_{M_1}(N \times \{y\})$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. Let N be a subsemimodule of  $R_1$ semimodule  $M_1$  and let  $m \in c. prad_{M_1}(N)$ .

If there is no classical primary subsemimodule of *M* containing  $N \times \{y\}$ , then *c*. prad<sub>M</sub>  $(N \times \{y\}) = M$ . Therefore  $(m, y) \in c. prad_M (N \times \{y\})$ .

If there is classical primary subsemimodule of M containing  $N \times \{y\}$ , then there exists a classical primary subsemimodule W with  $N \times \{y\} \subseteq W$ . By Lemma 4.1 and  $P = \{x \in M_1 : (x, y) \in W\}$ , we have  $P = M_1$  or P is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ .

**Case 1:**  $P = M_1$ . Since  $m \in c$ .  $prad_M$  (N), we have  $m \in P$ . Then  $(m, y) \in W$ . Therefore if W is a classical primary subsemimodule of M containing  $N \times \{y\}$ , then  $(m, y) \in W$ .

**Case 2:**  $P \neq M_1$ . Since  $P \neq M_1$ , we have *P* is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $R_1$  -semimodule  $M_1$ . Let  $x \in N$ . Then  $(x, y) \in N \times y$  so that  $x \in P$ . It follows that  $N \subseteq P$ . We have

$$c.rad_{M}(N) \subseteq c.rad_{M}(P)$$
$$= P$$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule and let N be a subsemimodule of  $R_2$ -semimodule  $M_2$ . Then  $m \in c. prad_M(N)$  if and only if  $(x, m) \in c. prad_M(\{x\} \times N)$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.4.

**Corollary 4.6** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule and let N be a subsemimodule of  $R_j$ -semimodule  $M_j$ . Then  $m \in c. prad_{M_j}(N)$  if and only if

$$(x_1, , m, x_{j+1}, , x_n) \in c. \ prad_M(\{x_1\} \times \{x_2\} \times \qquad \qquad \times N \times \{x_{j+1}\} \times \\ \dots \times \{x_n\}). \dots \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad \qquad \dots$$

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.5.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_i$  be a subsemimodule of  $R_i$ -semimodule  $M_i$ , then c. prad  $M_1(N_1) \times c$ . prad  $M_2(N_2) \subseteq c$ . prad  $M(N_1 \times N_2)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. Let  $N_1$  be a subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . We will show that *c. prad*<sub>M1</sub>  $(N_1) \times .cprad_{M_2}(N_2) \subseteq c. prad_M (N_1 \times N_2)$ . Let

 $(x, y) \in c. prad_{M_1}(N_1) \times c. prad_{M_2}(M_2).$ 

Then  $x \in c$ . prad  $M_1(N_1)$  and  $y \in c$ . prad  $M_2(N_1)$ . By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$(x, 0) \in c. prad_M (N_1 \times \{0\}) \subseteq c. prad_M (N_1 \times N_2)$$
  
and  
 $(0, y) \in c. prad_M (\{0\} \times N_2) \subseteq c. prad_M (N_1 \times N_2).$ 

Then  $(x, y) = (x, 0) + (0, y) \in c. prad_M (N_1 \times N_2)$  and hence

c. prad  $_{M_1}(N_1) \times c.$  prad  $_{M_2}(N_2) \subseteq c.$  prad  $_M(N_1 \times N_2).$ 

**Corollary 4.8**. Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_i$  be a subsemimodule of  $R_i$ -semimodule  $M_i$ ,

then 
$$\prod_{i=1}^{i} c. prad_{M_i}(N_i) \subseteq c. prad_M(\prod_{i=1}^{i} N_i)$$
  
**Proof** This follows from Lemme 4.7

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.7.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If N is a subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ , then  $c. prad_M(N_1) \times c. prad_M(M_2) = c. prad_M(N_1 \times M_2)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where *i* is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. Let N be a subsemimodule of  $R_1$ -semimodule  $M_1$ . By Lemma 4.7, we have  $c. prad_M (N) \times c. prad_M (M_2) \subseteq c. prad_M (N \times M_2)$ . We will show that  $c. prad_M (N_1 \times M_2) \subseteq c. prad_M (N_1) \times c. prad_M (M_2)$ . If there is no classical primary subsemimodule of M containing N, then  $c. prad_M (N) = M_1$ . Then

$$c. prad_M(N_1 \times M_2) \subseteq c. prad_M(N_1) \times c. prad_M (M_2).$$

If there is classical primary subsemimodule of M containing N, then there exists W is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$  containing N. Then  $W \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of M, containing  $N \times M_2$ . Let P be a classical primary subsemimodule of M containing  $N \times M_2$ . Then

$$N \times M_2 \subseteq c. prad_{M_1}(N) \times M_2$$
  
= c. prad\_{M\_1}(N) \times c. prad\_{M\_2}(M\_2).

Therefore *c*. *prad*<sub>*M*</sub> ( $N_1 \times M_2$ )  $\subseteq$  *c*. *prad*<sub>*M*1</sub> ( $N_1$ ) ×*c*. *prad*<sub>*M*2</sub> ( $M_2$ ) and hence *c*. *prad*<sub>*M*</sub> ( $N_1 \times M_2$ ) = *c*. *prad*<sub>*M*1</sub> ( $N_1$ ) ×*c*. *prad*<sub>*M*2</sub> ( $M_2$ ).

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If N is a subsemimodule of  $R_2$ -semimodule  $M_2$ , then  $c. prad_M (M_2 \times N) = c. prad_{M_1} (M_2) \times c. prad_{M_2} (N)$ . **Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.9.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_i$  be a subsemimodule of  $R_i$ -semimodule  $M_i$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} c. prad_{M_i}(N_i) = c. prad_M (\prod_{i=1}^{n} N_i)$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.9 and Corollary 4.10.

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ , then  $N_1$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_1$  if and only if  $N_1 \times M_2$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$  and  $N_1$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_1$ . We will show that  $N_1 \times M_2$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M. Since  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$ , it follows that

c. prad<sub>M</sub> (N<sub>1</sub>×M<sub>2</sub>) = c. prad<sub>M</sub> (N<sub>1</sub>)×c. prad<sub>M</sub> (M<sup>2</sup>)  
= 
$$\langle E_{M_1}(N_1) \rangle \times M_2$$
  
=  $E_M(N_1 \times M_2)$ .

Therefore  $N_1 \times M_2$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M. Conversely, suppose that  $N_1$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of  $M_1$  and  $N_1 \times M_2$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M. We will show that  $N_1$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_1$ . Since  $N_1 \times M_2$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of M, it follows that

$$\bigwedge_{E_{M_1}} (N_1)^{\backslash} \times M_2 = \bigwedge E_M (N_1 \times M_2)^{\vee}$$
  
= c. prad  $_{M_1} (N_1) \times c. prad _{M_2} (M_2).$ 

Then c. prad  $_{M_1}(N_1) = \bigwedge_{E_{M_1}} (N_1)^{V_1}$  and hence  $N_1$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_1$ .

**Corollary 4.13.** Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$ -semimodule. If  $N_j$  is a classical primary subsemimodule of

 $M_{i}$ , then  $N_{i}$  is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in  $M_{i}$  if and only if

$$M_1 \times M_2 \times \times M_{j-1} \times N_j \times M_{j+1} \times \times M_n$$

is to satisfy the classical primary radical formula in M. **Proof.** This follows from Theorem 4.12.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for stimulating comments and improving presentation of the paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Atani R. E., "Prime subsemimodules of semimodules", International Journal of Algebra, vol. 4, no. 26, pp. 1299-1306, 2010.
- [2] Atani S. E. and Darani A. Y., "On quasi-primary submodules", Chiang Mai J. Sci., vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 249-254, 2006.
- [3] Baziar M. and Behboodi M., "Classical primary submodules and decomposition theory of modules", J. Algebra Appl., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 351-362, 2009.
- [4] Behboodi M., Jahani-nezhad R. and Naderi M. H., "Classical quasi-primary submodules", Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 51-71, 2011.
- [5] Dubey M. K. and Sarohe P. "On 2-absorbing semimodules", Quasigroups and Related Systems, vol. 21, pp. 175 -184, 2013.
- [6] Ebrahimi Atani, R., "Prime subsemimodules of semimodules", Int. J. of Algebra, vol. 4, no. 26, pp. 1299 1306, 2010.
- [7] Ebrahimi Atani R. and Ebrahimi Atani S., "On subsemimodules of semimodules", Buletinul Academiei De Stiinte, vol. 2, no. 63, pp. 20 - 30, 2010.
- [8]. Ebrahimi Atani S. and Esmaeili Khalil Saraei F., "Modules which satisfy the radical formula", Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 13 - 18, 2007.
- [9] Ebrahimi Atani S. and Shajari Kohan M., "A note on finitely generated multiplication semimodules over comutative semirings", International Journal of Algebra, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 389-396, 2010.
- [10] Fuchs L., "On quasi-primary ideals", Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math., vol. 11, pp. 174-183, 1947.

- [11] McCasland R. L. and Moore M. E., "On radicals of submodules", Comm. Algebra, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1327–1341, 1991.
- [12] Pusat-Yilmaz D. and Smith P. F., "Modules which satisfy the radical formula, Acta Math. Hungar, vol. 95, no. (1-2), pp. 155–167, 2002.
- [13] Saffar Ardabili J., Motmaen S. and Yousefian Darani A., "The spectrum of classical prime subsemimodules", Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 1824-1830, 2011.
- [14] Sharif H., Sharifi Y.and Namazi S., "Rings satisfying the radical formula", Acta Math. Hungar, vol. 71, no. (1-2), pp. 103-108, 1996.
- [15] Srinivasa Reddy M., Amarendra Babu V. and Srinivasa Rao P. V., "Weakly primary subsemimodules of partial semimodules", International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research (IJMCAR), vol. 3, pp. 45-56, 2013.
- [16] Tavallaee H.A. and Zolfaghari M., "Some remarks on weakly prime and weakly semiprime submodules", Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Math., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 19 30, 2012.
- [17] Tavallaee H.A. and Zolfaghari M., "On semiprime submodules and related results", J. Indones. Math. Soc., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 49-59, 2013.
- [18] Yesilot G., Oral K. H. and Tekir U., "On prime subsemimodules of semimodules", International Journal of Algebra., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 53-60, 2010.